

**Nutrition Risk Assessment and Interventions for Childhood Cancer**  
Rachel Hill, RD, CSO, LD, CNSC

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**Objectives**

After this presentation, the viewer should be able to:

- Discuss risk groups for pediatric oncology diagnoses
- Discuss how interventions are adjusted based on treatment intensity
- Describe one pediatric cancer diagnosis and its proposed interventions

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**Disclosures**

- No disclosures to report

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Pediatric Oncology & Nutrition Plans

- Children ≠ little adults
- Childhood cancer ≠ adult cancer
- Guidelines in this area are under-developed

Diagnosis      Treatment      Survivorship

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Important Tools for Dietitians

- Interventions vary based on treatment intensity and nutritional impact.
- Important tools for pediatric RDs to gain:
  - Working understanding of common pediatric cancers and their treatment plans
  - Ability to identify treatments that will adversely affect nutritional status
  - Relationship with providers and research team members

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Malnutrition During Childhood Cancer

<b>Increased</b>	<b>Decreased</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Treatment toxicity</li><li>• Infection risk</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Survival</li><li>• Lean body mass</li><li>• Functional status</li><li>• Quality of life</li></ul>

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL)

### Background

- Background
  - Cancer of blood and bone marrow
  - Most common childhood malignancy (25% of all new childhood cancers; 75% of childhood leukemia cases)
  - Average survival: 90%
- Presentation and work-up
  - Anemia (fatigue, pallor), thrombocytopenia (easy bruising, bleeding), neutropenia (fever, recent illness)
  - Hepatosplenomegaly may be present (infiltration of leukemia into the spleen and liver)
  - Blood work and bone marrow evaluation to confirm diagnosis and determine sub-type
    - B-cell (80-85% of cases)
    - T-cell (15% of cases)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL)

### Treatment Overview

- Treatment intensity is based on risk of treatment failure; high risk features include:
  - Age <1 year and ≥ 10 years
  - WBC at dx >50,000
  - Certain genetic markers (Philadelphia chromosome, hypodiploidy, etc.)
  - Response to treatment during induction therapy
- Treatment overview
  - Duration: 2-3.5 years (first 6-12 months is most intense)
  - Multiple therapy "phases": induction, consolidation, interim maintenance, delayed intensification, and maintenance/continuation
  - Several chemotherapy agents given during each phase

Rubin, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL)

### Nutritional Considerations & Interventions

- Nutritional status at diagnosis:
  - Obesity at dx has been associated with poorer survival
  - If hepatosplenomegaly is present at dx, obtain mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC)
- Nutritional risk
  - High risk/very high risk patients at greatest risk for malnutrition
  - Greatest risk for malnutrition during: induction, consolidation, & delayed intensification
  - Significant risk for weight gain during: induction & maintenance therapy

Rubin, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Treatment Phase	Chemotherapy	Nutrition-Related Side Effects	Nutrition Interventions
Induction	Vincristine (IV) Sclavid (PC) Asparaginase (IV) Daunorubicin (IV) Cytarabine (IT)	Constipation, jaw pain Hyperphagia, weight gain Hypoglycemia, pancreatitis Anorexia, mucositis, nausea/ vomiting	Educate (food safety, nutrition risks) Encourage physical activity Monitor for hyperglycemia Monitor for weight loss - High risk patients - Overweight/obese - Diets syndrome - Hypoglycemia
Consolidation	Cyclophosphamide (IV) Cytarabine (IV and/or IT) Vincristine (IV) PEG-asparaginase (IV) Methotrexate (IT) Mitoxantrone (IV)	Anorexia Nausea/ vomiting Mouth sores Constipation, jaw pain Pain/stomach	Encourage hydration and exercise Appetite stimulants Oral supplements Nutrition support
Intensification	Methotrexate (IV, high dose; IT) Vincristine (IV) Mitoxantrone (IV)	Mucositis/mouth sores Decreased appetite Constipation, jaw pain	Encourage healthy behaviors and exercise
Delayed Intensification	Vincristine (IV) Sclavid (PC) Daunorubicin (IV) PEG-asparaginase (IV) Cyclophosphamide (IV) Cytarabine (IV and/or IT) Methotrexate (IT) Thioguanine	Constipation, jaw pain Increased appetite, weight gain Anorexia, mucositis Hypoglycemia, pancreatitis Nausea/ vomiting	Monitor for hyperglycemia Monitor weight trends Appetite stimulants Oral supplements Nutrition support
Maintenance	Sclavid (PC) Mitoxantrone (low dose; PC) Methotrexate (low dose; PC) Vincristine (IV)	Increased appetite, weight gain	Counsel proactively if able: - Weight management - Bone health Monitor weight trends

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL)

### Nutritional Considerations & Interventions

- Significant and sustained weight gain begins in maintenance therapy
- Studies suggest that nutrition intervention is feasible and effective
- Essential components included:
  - Early intervention (toward beginning of maintenance)
  - Regular interaction with an RDN

Zhang, 2015. J. 2015; 110, 207

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML)

### Background

- Background
  - Cancer of blood and bone marrow (20% of childhood leukemia cases)
  - Survival: 60-70%
  - Certain genetic markers can predict more or less favorable outcomes
- Presentation and work-up
  - Anemia (fatigue, pallor), thrombocytopenia (easy bruising, bleeding), neutropenia (fever, recent illness)
  - Blood work and bone marrow evaluation to confirm diagnosis

Araoz, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML)

### Treatment, Nutritional Considerations, & Interventions

---

- Chemotherapy: 4-5 cycles of ADE-based therapy
  - ADE (Ara-C/Cytarabine, Daunorubicin, Etoposide)
  - May also include additional anthracyclines like mitoxantrone
  - Cycles provided quickly upon count recovery; may require prolonged admissions
  - Side effects: significant anorexia, weight loss, nausea/vomiting, mucositis; early and regular nutrition evaluation warranted
- Under/overweight at diagnosis is associated with poor outcomes (Inaba, 2012)
- Early nutrition support +/- physical therapy may be warranted

Arora, 2016; Inaba, 2012

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Down Syndrome & Leukemia

---

- Children with Down Syndrome (DS) are more likely to develop leukemia (ALL and AML) than peers without DS.
- Patients with DS are treated on modified treatment plans.
- Outcomes:
  - Patients with ALL and DS have less favorable outcomes than patients without DS
  - Patients with AML and DS have more favorable outcomes than patients without DS
- Particularly sensitive to some chemotherapy agents (more likely to develop mucositis from methotrexate and hyperglycemia with steroids and asparaginase)

Rubin, 2016; Arora, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Central Nervous System Tumors

### Background

---

- Background
  - Tumors of the brain and spine
  - May be benign or malignant or benign with "malignant" location
- Presentation and workup
  - Headache, nausea/vomiting, fatigue, abnormal gait, seizures, weight loss
  - Work up includes imaging and biopsy vs resection
- Treatment varies widely
  - Surgery or observation only
  - Intensive chemotherapy and radiation + autologous stem cell transplant

National Cancer Institute

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Central Nervous System Tumors

### Treatment Overview

Malnutrition Risk Group	Common Treatment	Examples
Low	Tumors that require observation or surgery +/- radiation or low impact chemotherapy (vinca alkaloid + carboplatin)	Astrocytoma Low grade glioma Germinoma Craniopharyngioma (obesity risk)
Intermediate	Tumors or surgeries that impact swallowing function Requirement for sedation w/ RT	Brainstem gliomas Ependymoma (posterior fossa) Young age + radiation Non-germinomatous GCT
High	Intensive chemotherapy and radiation High dose chemo + HSCT	Embryonal tumors (ATRT, medulloblastoma, ETMR, NOS)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Central Nervous System Tumors

### Nutritional Considerations & Interventions

- Surgery: May cause dysphagia (posterior fossa & brain stem tumors) or motor issues
- Radiation: decreased appetite, fatigue, prolonged NPO status
- Chemotherapy:
  - Cisplatin: nausea/vomiting (continuous feeds may be helpful), anorexia
  - Cyclophosphamide: anorexia, nausea/vomiting
  - Vincristine: constipation, neuropathy
- Early enteral nutrition support may be warranted for high risk protocols

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Lymphoma

### Background

- Cancer of the lymphatic system
- Two main types:
  - Hodgkin lymphoma
  - Non-Hodgkin lymphoma
    - B cell (diffuse large B cell, mature B-cell)
    - Lymphoblastic lymphoma (often T cell)
    - Anaplastic large cell lymphoma
    - Burkitt and Burkitt-like

www.cancer.gov

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Hodgkin Lymphoma

### Background

---

- Background
  - Occurs most often in adolescents
  - Risk factors include immunodeficiencies and certain infections (ex: EBV)
  - Overall survival: 95%
- Presentation and work-up
  - Lymphadenopathy, significant weight loss very common at diagnosis
  - Work-up includes imaging and biopsy to stage disease
  - Higher risk features: multiple lymph node involvement, disseminated disease (bone, bone marrow, lung), and "B" symptoms (night sweats, unexplained fever, unintentional weight loss  $\geq 10\%$ )

Munger, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Hodgkin Lymphoma

### Treatment, Nutritional Concerns, & Interventions

---

- Treatment is adjusted to optimize outcomes and limit late effects
  - Chemotherapy: 3-5 cycles (commonly with ABVD-PC)
    - Adriamycin/doxorubicin, bleomycin, vincristine, etoposide, prednisone, cyclophosphamide
  - + Radiation for higher risk or slowly responding disease
- Excellent supportive care  $\rightarrow$  low risk for malnutrition
  - Monitor initially until stable and adequately supported
  - Then monitor for weight gain due to frequent use of steroids

Munger, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma

### Background

---

- Background
  - Occurs mostly in children  $>5$  years old
  - More aggressive than Hodgkin lymphoma
  - Overall survival: 80%
- Presentation and work-up
  - Lymphadenopathy and significant weight loss are common
  - Work-up includes imaging, biopsy, and bone marrow evaluations
  - Higher risk features: older age, mediastinal or bone marrow involvement, treatment response, higher stage disease

Allen, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma

### Treatment, Nutritional Considerations, & Interventions

---

- Common chemotherapy regimens:
  - CHOP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone)
  - EPOCH (etoposide, prednisone, vincristine, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin)
  - ALL-based therapy for lymphoblastic lymphoma
  - Targeted agents now being added: rituximab, brentuximab, crizotinib
- Common nutritional concerns include: nausea/vomiting, anorexia, constipation; mucositis and diarrhea less common but can be significant

Allen, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Rhabdomyosarcoma

### Background

---

- Background
  - Tumor of soft tissue (sites: head/neck, genitourinary tract, extremities, and trunk)
  - Two main subtypes: embryonal and alveolar (alveolar is more aggressive)
- Overall survival:
  - Low risk: >70%
  - Intermediate risk: 50-70%
  - High risk: ≤30%

Wash, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Rhabdomyosarcoma

### Treatment, Nutritional Concerns, & Interventions

---

- Surgery: initial resection if possible
- Chemotherapy:
  - VAC (vincristine, actinomycin-D/dactinomycin, cyclophosphamide) in 3 week cycles
    - All 3 agents together often results in anorexia; vincristine only: constipation, jaw pain
  - Additional agents for high risk patients: doxorubicin, irinotecan, ifosfamide, etoposide
    - May cause significant diarrhea, anorexia, and weight loss
- Radiation: impact depends on site; consider proactive TF if head/neck

Wash, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Wilms' Tumor

### Background

---

- Background
  - Tumor of the kidney
  - Overall survival: 90% for favorable histology
- Presentation and work-up
  - Often present with large abdominal mass, anorexia/early satiety, hematuria, or hypertension
  - Malnutrition often present at diagnosis but masked by large tumor (measure MUAC)
  - Work-up includes multiple scans to rule out metastatic disease and biopsy/resection
  - Risk determined by histology (favorable vs. unfavorable/anaplastic), age, stage

Fernando, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Wilms' Tumor

### Treatment, Nutritional Concerns, & Interventions

---

- Surgery: at diagnosis or after several cycles of chemotherapy
  - Monitor diet advancement and MUAC closely; consider parenteral nutrition if necessary
- Chemotherapy:
  - Lower risk: EE-4A (vincristine and dactinomycin) – low risk for nutritional issues
  - Higher risk: DD-4A (vincristine, dactinomycin, doxorubicin) – at risk for anorexia, N/V
  - High risk patients may receive cyclophosphamide and etoposide – at significant risk for anorexia, N/V
- Radiation: whole abdomen radiation often used (stage I and II – no RT)
  - Short duration but may cause radiation enteritis and malabsorption; eliminate lactose
  - If given in conjunction with chemotherapy, may be unable to tolerate enteral nutrition

Fernando, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Osteosarcoma

### Background

---

- Background
  - Most common bone tumor in children and adolescents
  - Occurs frequently in adolescents
  - Overall survival: localized disease: 60-70%; metastatic disease: 10-30%
- Presentation and work-up
  - Often presents as pain or fracture
  - Work-up includes biopsy and scans to assess for metastatic disease

Grafich, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Osteosarcoma

### Treatment, Nutritional Concerns, & Interventions

---

- **Chemotherapy:** MAP (methotrexate, Adriamycin/doxorubicin, cisplatin)
  - Cisplatin & doxorubicin side effects: N/V, anorexia, hypomagnesemia, taste changes
  - Methotrexate side effects: mucositis, decreased appetite
  - Ifosfamide and etoposide occasionally added if poor response is noted
  - Tenardi et al, 2012: 7.8% underweight at dx; 36.1% underweight one year later
- **Local control:** surgery
  - Often provided after 2 full cycles of MAP chemotherapy
  - Complete resection essential; often requires amputation or limb salvage

Grafek, 2016; Trossk, 2012

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Ewing Sarcoma

### Background

---

- **Background**
  - Tumor of bone or soft tissue; most often in lower extremities, pelvis, or chest wall
  - Survival: 60-70% for local disease; significantly less for metastatic disease
- **Presentation and work-up**
  - Presenting symptoms include pain, mass, or weight loss
  - Work-up includes biopsy, scans to assess for metastasis, and bone marrow evaluation

Hawken, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Ewing Sarcoma

### Treatment, Nutritional Concerns, & Interventions

---

- **Chemotherapy:** alternating, compressed (q2 week) cycles of VDC/IE
  - VDC (vincristine, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide)
  - IE (ifosfamide, etoposide)
  - Relapsed pts may receive topotecan/cyclophosphamide or irinotecan/temozolomide
- **Local control:** surgery or radiation therapy (radiation used for mets)
  - Often provided after about 6 cycles of chemotherapy
  - Underweight or overweight/obese status at diagnosis may impact tumor necrosis (Goldstein, 2015)

Hawken, 2016; Goldstein, 2015

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Hepatoblastoma

### Background

---

- Background
  - Most common liver malignancy in children; mostly in children <3 years
  - Risk factors: prematurity, familial cancer syndromes
  - Prognostic indicators: tumor histology (favorable/unfavorable), age, local vs. metastatic disease, tumor rupture or invasion of surrounding tissue
- Presenting symptoms
  - Distended abdomen, early satiety/anorexia, elevated AFP (alpha fetal protein)
  - Malnutrition common at diagnosis; MUAC is important.

Mays, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Hepatoblastoma

### Treatment, Nutritional Concerns, & Interventions

---

- Surgery: complete resection essential (may be delayed if too large/involved)
- Chemotherapy: cisplatin-based treatment
  - Low risk: cisplatin, 5-FU, vincristine
  - Intermediate risk: above + doxorubicin
  - High risk: above + irinotecan +/- temsirolimus being studied
  - Side effects: significant nausea/vomiting, anorexia, and hypomagnesemia for all; risk for mucositis and diarrhea increased with doxorubicin and irinotecan, respectively
- Liver transplant may be considered if tumor is unresectable

Mays, 2016

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Summary

---

- “Pediatric cancer” represents many different diagnoses and treatment plans
- Higher intensity treatment is often equivalent to greater nutritional risk
- Understanding treatment protocols can improve nutrition plans
- Consider treatment intensity rather than diagnosis alone

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Additional Resources

---

- Online Pediatric Nutrition Care Manual – revised
- Oncology Nutrition for Clinical Practice, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition – coming soon
- Intensity Rating Scale – 3 (CHOP)
- Treatment protocol schemas

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## References

---

- Rabin K, Gerasimos M, Margolin J, Pughack D. Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. In: Pizzo PA, Patai A, eds. *Principles and Practice of Pediatric Oncology*. 7th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Wolters Kluwer; 2016:463-497.
- Chemotherapy drugs and drug classes and drug chemotherapy. Chemocare. <http://chemocare.com/chemotherapy/drug-ids/default.aspx>. Published 2018. Accessed January 27, 2018.
- Bhatia M, Scharf W. *Flow Cytometry of Pediatric Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (B-ALL): A Handbook for Evaluation/Assessment* (NCD987795/NCD97257) in Pediatric Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL) Leukemia Medicine; 2016.
- Zhang F, Parsons S. Obesity in childhood cancer survivors: call for early weight management. *Ad. Nutr*. 2016;6(1):43-53.
- Hahn H, Hartzel T, Robinson J, et al. Early nutritional intervention increases weight gain for pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients in maintenance therapy. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 2015;36(2):104-110.
- Li J, Dorevitch O, Krasner P, et al. A randomized nutrition counseling intervention in pediatric leukemia patients receiving standard versus no reduced caloric intake. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2015;54(2):274-280.
- Arora H, Maheshwari S. Acute Myeloid Leukemia and Myelodysplastic Syndromes. In: Pizzo PA, Patai A, eds. *Principles and Practice of Pediatric Oncology*. 7th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Wolters Kluwer; 2016:498-544.
- Inaba H, Sappone BC, Prasad S, et al. Effect of body mass index on the outcome of children with acute myeloid leukemia. *Cancer*. 2012. doi:10.1002/leuk.22640.
- Brain Cancer National Cancer Institute. <https://www.cancer.gov/types/brain>. Accessed January 24, 2018.
- Chay S, Zimmerman M, Yoo N, et al. Intensive multimodal treatment for children with newly diagnosed CNS atypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumor. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;27(7):955-963.
- Yaman T, Pinar C, Haktanir K, et al. Atypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumor (ATRT) improved survival in children 7 years of age and older with radiation therapy and high-dose ifosfamide based chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24(7):1491-1498.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## References

---

- Mergue M, Kravits M, Choi J, Hachson M. Hodgkin Lymphoma. In: Pizzo PA, Patai A, eds. *Principles and Practice of Pediatric Oncology*. 7th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Wolters Kluwer; 2016:568-586.
- Formanok C, Geller J, Erdelof P, Hild D, Karpavich J, Dvorn J. Rarid Tumors. In: Pizzo PA, Patai A, eds. *Principles and Practice of Pediatric Oncology*. 7th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Wolters Kluwer; 2016:753-771.
- Waber L, Shapiro S, Hildman L. Rhabdomyosarcoma. In: Pizzo PA, Patai A, eds. *Principles and Practice of Pediatric Oncology*. 7th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Wolters Kluwer; 2016:655-676.
- Allen C, Kowalski K, Bellizzi C, Green T. Malignant Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas in Children. In: Pizzo PA, Patai A, eds. *Principles and Practice of Pediatric Oncology*. 7th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Wolters Kluwer; 2016:587-603.
- Lovelace R, Jansone K, Maitin N. Osteosarcoma. In: Pizzo PA, Patai A, eds. *Principles and Practice of Pediatric Oncology*. 7th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Wolters Kluwer; 2016:677-698.
- Heston D, Brennan B, Milling T, et al. Ewing Sarcoma. In: Pizzo PA, Patai A, eds. *Principles and Practice of Pediatric Oncology*. 7th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Wolters Kluwer; 2016:655-676.
- Goldmann G, Shambh D, Prasad T, Jambhavi J, Toren A. Abnormal body mass index at diagnosis in patients with Ewing sarcoma is associated with inferior cancer outcome. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2016;55(10):1896-1900.
- Moya R, Trochuang-Larrosa A, Mahgoubi-Makki M, Karamanli H, Lopez-Terrada D, Pongchol M. Liver Tumors. In: Pizzo PA, Patai A, eds. *Principles and Practice of Pediatric Oncology*. 7th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Wolters Kluwer; 2016:726-752.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



---

---

---

---

---

---

---